

Exhibition 2015 Feedback

Residential Mix of Home Types

34 comments were received. The comments were analysed into themes, listed below followed by a table containing all the comments received.

The principle themes were:

Affordable homes (16) – These contained a variety of views without a majority dominant theme. The strands included concerns about the negative impact of social rented tenure (some residents consider there have been bad experiences), a preference that affordable homes go to people with local connections and that affordable homes need to include smaller homes affordable at market rates

‘Downsizer’ homes (10) – The need for smaller homes for older residents to downsize was the dominant strand .

Starter homes (5) – The third popular theme was the need for homes for starting out on the property ladder.

Ref No = cross reference to feedback cards

Threads = colour highlights principle themes

If no comment was made the card was omitted from the analysis hence missing ref numbers

30th March 2015

Comments Catalogued into Principle Themes

Ref No	Response	Thread
203	Affordable homes for local, people who work and support themselves.	Affordable Homes
208	[if home not occupied how should it be used] – then available widely on income basis I like the proposed NDP policy	
209	Maintain a mix is critical to avoid ‘ghettos’ at either end of the scale. Mortimer should not be a low cost dormitory for people pushed out of Reading.	
210	No more social housing – too much already.	
213	Starter homes but limited social housing.	
214	We also need low cost housing (different from affordable) where residents of the village can afford to purchase and not rent via HA.	
227	DON'T NEED anymore large 5 bed executive homes. Provide small units and affordable to keep young families in village ...	
230	Don't see why a teacher or fireman employed in Reading (say) should have affordable housing in Mortimer. There is little point in putting in affordable housing for people not locally employed.	
234	There should be available to rent – possibly through a housing association – to people from the priority list before allocating to people from outside the parish other than priority professions.	
249	Those needing homes.	
256	From west berks priority list	
258	[suggestions for other groups of people to be included on list] Those wishing to return to the village to be with family on retirement. I would hope the criteria for occupation would fill all the available homes.	
259	Social housing/affordable housing should go to people with strong connections to the village, not from outside placed by councils. Only on developments > 10 units.	
260	Limit social housing	
263	Open market.	
267	Teachers don't always want to live in the area their school is in.	Starter Homes
204	Young people <u>must</u> have option to live where they grew up and not staying with their parents. MORE RENTAL AVAILABILITY.	
205	Starter and affordable homes should be greater ratio than 25% of a development if the majority of the development are for 4 bed executive type homes.	
213	Starter homes but limited social housing.	
227	DON'T NEED anymore large 5 bed executive homes. Provide small units and affordable to keep young families in village and 'sheltered flats' in courtyard style, safe units for elderly (no full sheltered). We could also then get more units on less area this way.	

211	2 bedroom(retired couples)	Downsizers
217	Retired people like single storey homes or small homes with little gardens away from rowdy neighbours.	
218	People who wish to downsize who want to stay in village and not live in over 50's property. The young who have never afforded to stay in the village with the railway it makes sense to stay in the village	
226	What about old age residential.	
227	<u>DON'T NEED</u> anymore large 5 bed executive homes. Provide small units and affordable to keep young families in village and 'sheltered flats' in courtyard style, safe units for elderly (no full sheltered).We could also then get more units on less area this way.	
245	Mixed 2-3 bed semi and detached also much needed bungalows both semi and detached. A suggestion, sheltered complexes ie 'Highfield' off Taragon Way Burghfield Common.	
256	From west berks priority list mix should include bungalows. [is this downsizer comment?]	
257	Mix should include bungalows. [is this downsizer comment?]	
264	People who are down-sizing but wish to remain in the village ...	
273	Provision for elderly parents wishing to live close to family member who could offer support.	

All Comments

Ref No	Response
200	Small business owners who agree to create specified jobs in the village accessible on foot
203	Affordable homes for local, people who work and support themselves.
204	Young people <u>must</u> have option to live where they grew up and not staying with their parents. MORE RENTAL AVAILABILITY.
205	Starter and affordable homes should be greater ratio than 25% of a developmemnt if the majority of the development are for 4 bed executive type homes.
208	[if home not occupied how should it be used] – then available widely on income basis I like the proposed NDP policy
209	Maintain a mix is critical to avoid 'ghettos' at either end of the scale. Mortimer should not be a low cost dormitory for people pushed out of Reading.
210	<u>No</u> more social housing – too much already.
211	2 bedroom (retired couples)
213	Starter homes but limited social housing.
214	We also need low cost housing (different from affordable) where residents of the village can afford to purchase and not rent via HA.
215	2 bed small bungalows would be desirable and release a lot of larger houses.
217	Retired people like single storey homes or small homes with little gardens away from rowdy neighbours.
218	People who wish to downsize who want to stay in village and not live in over 50's property. The young who have never afforded to stay in the village with the railway it makes sense to stay in the village
221	Wherever possible we agree with the aims stated in the 'options'.
223	Investment in the Thames Valley is being made by the wealthy abroad. I don't agree that we should be destroying our England for them. I know you can't stop progress this is too fast & too big.
226	What about old age residential.
227	<u>DON'T NEED</u> anymore large 5 bed executive homes. Provide small units and affordable to keep young families in village and 'sheltered flats' in courtyard style, safe units for elderly (no full sheltered). We could also then get more units on less area this way.
230	Don't see why a teacher or fireman employed in Reading (say) should have affordable housing in Mortimer. There is little point in putting in affordable housing for people not locally employed.
232	New housing should be of mixed size – no more 3 storey 6 bed houses.
234	There should be available to rent – possibly through a housing association – to people from the priority list before allocating to people from outside the parish other than priority professions.
245	Mixed 2-3 bed semi and detached also much needed bungalows both semi and

	detached. A suggestion, sheltered complexes ie 'Highfield' off Taragon Way Burghfield Common.
249	Those needing homes.
252	Please do not allow homes to be built in Stephens Firs. <i>[Note name and address was supplied but withheld for confidentiality.]</i>
256	From west berks priority list mix should include bungalows.
257	Mix should include bungalows.
258	[suggestions for other groups of people to be included on list] Those wishing to return to the village to be with family on retirement. I would hope the criteria for accupation would fill all the available homes.
259	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social housing/affordable housing should go to people with strong connections to the village, not from outside placed by councils. • Only on developments > 10 units.
260	Limit social housing
263	Open market.
264	People who are down-sizing but wish to remain in the village – difficult to prioritise the groups – definitely against the policynbof social hosing given o residents outside the village area.
267	Teachers don't alaways want to live in nthe area their school is min. Smaller homes (exisiting) and bungalows need to be kept available for,people whowant to downsize but love gardening. Stop the over development of exisitng houses smaller homes. New small homes have no garden to occupy retired people.
271	Families with one or more members who have jobs.
272	[How should homes be used if not occupied by people in identified groups] Allocated to people in employment. Not drug addicts.
273	Provision for elderly parents wishing to live close to family member who could offer support.