Exhibition 2015 Feedback COMMENTS I/S CORE OPTIONS

40 comments were received about the suggested core infrastructure options relating to the schools and doctor's surgery.

11 responses supported the combining the 2 schools (St John's and St Mary's). 4responses were specifically about St John's and 5 about St Mary's. None of these indicated a consensus view.

7 responses were received concerning the doctor's surgery raising a number of points which can be addressed subsequently e.g. possibility of building a satellite surgery, expanding on the existing

6 respondents raised the issue of what would happen to the sites of the existing schools and surgery were vacated. Some ideas were suggested.

Ref No = cross reference to feedback cards

Threads = colour highlights common threads

If no comment was made the card was omitted from the analysis hence missing ref numbers

30th March 2015

Comments Catalogued into Principle Themes

Ref No	Response	Thread
<mark>507</mark>	A new joint school would solve the parking problem down the hill, but	<mark>Both</mark>
	also make it more likely that parents will walk the children to and	<mark>Schools</mark>
	from school.	
<mark>510</mark>	By adopting the single central site option all core options will be	
F 2 0	addressed sensibly	
<mark>520</mark>	Combining the two schools on adjacent sites would have very many obvious advantages for parents, school facilities, parking problems	
	and improving number of St Mary's pupils walking to school.	
<mark>535</mark>	A combined junior school in new premises	
544	New school a priority as existing ones over-subscribed,	
<mark>547</mark>	As we are having 110 new homes we feel that and also provision for	
	schooling (one school only maybe as a primary school) and also	
	playing space.	
<mark>548</mark>	There would be pros and cons of joining 2 schools on 1 site.	
<mark>552</mark>	One school would be the best option.	
<mark>557</mark>	The 2 schools should be built together to ease congestion at each end	
	of the day. Encouragement to walk should be in the plan.	
<mark>561</mark>	The schools should be combined and work together - seamless	
	progression, single drop off point. A drop off zone could be created. If	
	a surgery was near the school; parking could also be shared.	
<mark>562</mark>	Both schools on one site would avoid traffic congestion at and make life easier for working parents with children at both schools. Shared	
	facilities would be an advantage.	
<mark>503</mark>	Use existing St John's and extend to keep feel and ethos of the school;	<mark>St John's</mark>
	? combine the schools if the land area is the same.	
<mark>505</mark>	With children at both schools the connection with the church is	
	important.	
<mark>517</mark>	I thought St John's had plenty of spaces. Parents will travel far and	
	wide to bring their children to a village school even though they do	
	not live in the village.	
<mark>548</mark>	I would be in favour of providing St John's school with a playing field if	
	option1 is chosen	0.14
500	Parking just moving the problem somewhere else	St Mary's
<mark>502</mark>	Not sure how this would relieve some of the parking problems – it would just concentrate it in one area	
<mark>505</mark>	With children at both schools the connection with the church is	
<mark></mark>	important.	
<mark>506</mark>	St Mary's school needs to be enlarged if possible on its present site	
500 516	St Mary's school needs to be in centre of village to reduce traffic	
	congestion in The Street and then the schools can be used for other	
	activities in the evenings.	
504	New surgery only worthwhile if can guarantee more staff.	Surgery
516	There needs to be a satellite surgery in another area of the village.	
	If the Burghfield patients were not registered in Mortimer it would	

	ease the present situation of appts and parking.	
517	Doctors are hard to recruit at the moment maybe this will change by	
	2026.	
525	You may not get the staff to work at the surgery and have never had	
	an issue with it, just the way it is. Expand current if Mortimer grows.	
546	Would suggest a satellite health centre whereby the doctors could	
	buy in such as physio, health visitors and locate at new building on	
	option1 site. Would ease congestion at surgery.	
551	The doctors need to be located in the centre of the village.	
559	The doctor's surgery is over subscribed because people come from Burghfield to use it. Burghfield needs a bigger surgery of its own.	
500	Parking just moving the problem somewhere else	Parking
502	Not sure how this would relieve some of the parking problems – it	
	would just concentrate it in one area	
546	Site of doctors and schools would need very careful consideration due	
	to amount of vehicles this would generate.	
513	Surely these sites could be reused for housing and the existing	Reuse of
	infants site developed to create a new primary school + site for	<mark>sites</mark>
	doctors without extra housing on the site. The nightmare of access	
	should be solved.	
<mark>522</mark>	I do not think any more homes should be built in Mortimer unless	
520	the medical and educational facilities are in place and funded first.	
<mark>530</mark>	These sites could then be used for mixed housing and small businesses	
<mark>533</mark>	Trade in school/surgery with owners in exchange for sites on land at	
555	rear of the Horse and Groom/St John's build housing on existing	
	sites instead.	
<mark>541</mark>	It is important to find a sympathetic use for the old school buildings	
	so that these remain for village use.	
<mark>559</mark>	The St Mary's site should allow for a bus terminus for the station,	
	car parking and some housing retaining the older buildings though.	
510	By adopting the single central site option all core options will be	Other
	addressed sensibly	
515	Protect Mortimer open spaces, green fields and woodland.	
517	If good hardcore footpaths (not just pavements) were provided off	
	the road children and their parents would feel more like walking to	
	school.	
560	All this needs reviewing as we see the impact of the larger population.	
	These buildings if vacated could be usefully redeployed. These	
	questions are contingent on other decisions.	
	These buildings and where houses go seem inextricably entwined.	
	Perhaps they need to be brought together as a first step.	

All Comments

Ref No	Response	
500	Parking just moving the problem somewhere else	
502	Not sure how this would relieve some of the parking problems – it would just	
	concentrate it in one area	
503	Use existing St John's and extend to keep feel and ethos of the school; ?	
	combine the schools if the land area is the same.	
504	New surgery only worthwhile if can guarantee more staff.	
505	With children at both schools the connection with the church is important.	
506	St Mary's school needs to be enlarged if possible on its present site	
507	A new joint school would solve the parking problem down the hill, but also	
	make it more likely that parents will walk the children to and from school.	
510	By adopting the single central site option all core options will be addressed	
	sensibly	
513	Traffic is a major problem at both schools, also at surgery.	
	Surely these sites could be reused for housing and the existing infants site	
	developed to create a new primary school + site for doctors without extra	
	housing on the site. The nightmare of access should be solved.	
515	Plus parking for doctor's surgery.	
	Protect Mortimer open spaces, green fields and woodland.	
516	St Mary's school needs to be in centre of village to reduce traffic congestion in	
	The Street and then the schools can be used for other activities in the	
	evenings.	
	There needs to be a satellite surgery in another area of the village.	
	If the Burghfield patients were not registered in Mortimer it would ease the	
	present situation of appts and parking.	
517	I thought St John's had plenty of spaces. Parents will travel far and wide to	
	bring their children to a village school even though they do not live in the	
	village.	
	If good hardcore footpaths (not just pavements) were provided off the road	
	children and their parents would feel more like walking to school.	
	Doctors are hard to recruit at the moment maybe this will change by 2026.	
518	Well are we to become a town? We are a local service area after all, or a	
	village, if you like! Everybody would like 'new' 'bigger' and 'better' at what	
540	cost? I suppose it is inevitable and desirable. Its progress.	
519	Social housing to be close by.	
520	Combining the two schools on adjacent sites would have very many obvious	
	advantages for parents, school facilities, parking problems and improving	
	number of St Mary's pupils walking to school.	
521	Yes to all!!!	
522	I do not think any more homes should be built in Mortimer unless the medical	
500	and educational facilities are in place and funded first.	
523	This [St John's, St Mary's and surgery] will be needed as the village expands. As	
	the village size increase it will need more school and medical facilities as ??? as	
	Post Office and other facilities.	

525	You may not get the staff to work at the surgery and have never had an issue	
525	with it, just the way it is. Expand current if Mortimer grows.	
530	hese sites could then be used for mixed housing and small businesses	
533	Trade in school/surgery with owners in exchange for sites on land at rear of	
555	the Horse and Groom/St John's build housing on existing sites instead.	
534	Needed as result of new housing	
535	A combined junior school in new premises	
555	Additional parking at Mortimer station	
539	No public toilets.	
555	One way system in the village this will improve the parking.	
541	It is important to find a sympathetic use for the old school buildings so that	
541	these remain for village use.	
542	Yellow lines within Strawberry Fields entrance	
543	We should keep village atmosphere by not permitting town multiples moving	
0.10	in e.g starbucks, Tesco etc.	
	Sop units provided in new development should be for local businesses e.g.	
	florist, baker, butcher etc	
544	New school a priority as existing ones over-subscribed, new doctor's surgery	
	with park a necessity.	
545	New village hall	
	More parking at the station	
546	Site of doctors and schools would need very careful consideration due to	
	amount of vehicles this would generate	
	Would suggest a satellite health centre whereby the doctors could buy in such	
	as physio, health visitors and locate at new building on option1 site. Would	
	ease congestion at surgery.	
547	As we are having 110 new homes we feel that a larger surgery plus parking is	
	essential and also provision for schooling (one school only maybe as a primary	
	school) and also playing space.	
548	I would be in favour of providing St John's school with a playing field if option1	
	is chosen. There would be pros and cons of joining 2 school on 1 site.	
551	The doctors need to be located in the centre of the village.	
	Where will the schools go? They need to be where people can walk, this will	
	solve the parking problem	
552	One school would be the best option.	
556	• If St Mary's moved to the village, the site could be developed with suitable	
	housing close to the station. It would not impact on the visual approach to	
	the village and would solve the parking issue.	
	 I would be for the site behind the 'Horse and Groom' as long as both 	
	schools are moved and the GP surgery. Money required I know but it is	
	best to address these issues now as++ development, more children/more patients and it is not going to stop.	
557		
557	 St Mary's should be moved and the released land should be used for housing which would enable people to use the station to go to work 	
	 housing which would enable people to use the station to go to work. It would also relieve the chaotic parking in that area. 	
1	 The 2 schools should be built together to ease congestion at each end of 	

	the day. Encouragement to walk should be in the plan.	
559	• St Mary's school is in the wrong place. It is dangerous and too far to walk for many children. It should move to behind St John's and have large playing fields for both.	
	 The St Mary's site should allow for a bus terminus for the station, car parking and some housing retaining the older buildings though. 	
	 The doctor's surgery is over subscribed because people come from Burghfield to use it. Burghfield needs a bigger surgery of its own. 	
560	 All this needs reviewing as we see the impact of the larger population. These buildings if vacated could be usefully redeployed. ,These questions are contingent on other decisions. These buildings and where houses go seem inextricably entwined. Perhaps they need to be brought together as a first step. 	
561	The schools should be combined and work together - seamless progression, single drop off point. A drop off zone could be created. If a surgery was near the school; parking could also be shared.	
562	Both schools on one site would avoid traffic congestion at and make life easier for working parents with children at both schools. Shared facilities would be an advantage.	