Site Selection – Sustainability Appraisal / Strategic Environmental Assessment

Site ID:	MOR001	Site Address:	Land at Kiln Lane, Mortimer	Development Potential:	151 dwellings (5.04ha at 30dph) 110 dwellings
----------	--------	---------------	-----------------------------	------------------------	--

Key: Effects of option on SA objectives

++	+	?	0	-	
Significantly Positive	Positive	Uncertain	Neutral	Negative	Significantly Negative

SA Objective	Criteria	Effects of option on SA objectives	Justification for assessment:	Mitigation / enhancement	Comment inc. reference to Social, Economic and Environmental Sustainability	
	Will it support and encourage healthy, active lifestyles?	+	Site is within walking distance to local services and facilities as well as open countryside		The site is located on the edge of Mortimer, but within walking or cycling distance of local services and facilities and open countryside that would help to support an active healthy lifestyle, therefore, the site would have a positive impact on social and environmental elements of sustainability.	
To improve health and well being and reduce	Will it increase opportunities for access to sports facilities?	+	Site is close to sports facilities at the recreation ground, rights of way and open countryside.			
inequalities	Will it protect and enhance green infrastructure across the district?	?	A right of way crosses the site	Right of way would need to be protected thought scheme design		
To safeguard and improve accessibility to services and facilities	Will it improve access to education, employment services and facilities?	+	There are a range of services and employment opportunities close to Mortimer, although employment facilities within Mortimer itself are limited.		The proximity to local employment opportunities means that the site should have a positive economic sustainability.	
4. To improve and promote opportunities for sustainable travel	Will it increase travel choices, especially opportunities for walking, cycling and public transport?	+	Regular bus services pass through the village. There is a station close to the village, although not within the village itself. There are local facilities and services within walking or cycling distance of the site.		The site has regular bus services and a railway station close to the village, therefore, along with opportunities for walking and cycling there should be a positive impact on environmental sustainability.	
	Will it reduce the number of road traffic accidents and improve safety?	?	Additional traffic could result in road safety concerns, but any development would also have the potential to improve road safety.			
5. To protect and enhance the natural environment	Will it conserve and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity assets across West Berkshire?	0	The site is unlikely to have an impact on biodiversity or geodiversity.	An extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey would be required.	Development is unlikely to have an impact on any element of sustainability, although a habitat survey	
	Will it conserve and	-	The site is surrounded three sides by	Mitigation measures would be	could indicate protected	

Site Selection – Sustainability Appraisal / Strategic Environmental Assessment

SA Objective	Criteria	Effects of option on SA objectives	Justification for assessment:	Mitigation / enhancement	Comment inc. reference to Social, Economic and Environmental Sustainability	
	enhance the local distinctiveness of the character of the landscape?		countryside. Development of the site would have an impact, but the degree of this impact is unknown.	required as advised by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment.	species on the site, which could mean development may have a negative impact on environmental sustainability.	
	Will it conserve and enhance the local distinctiveness of the character of the built environment?	+	The site is located on the edge of but quite well related to, the existing settlement.		Unlikely to have an impact on any element of sustainability.	
6. To ensure that the built, historic and cultural environment is conserved and enhanced	Will it conserve and enhance the significance of the District's heritage assets?	0	The site is unlikely to have an impact on the District's heritage assets. There is archaeology to the south of the site.			
and enhanced	Will it promote, conserve and enhance the District's cultural assets?	0	The site is unlikely to have an impact on the District's cultural assets			
	Will it provide for increased access to and enjoyment of the historic environment?	0	The site is unlikely to have an impact on the District's cultural assets			
	Will the site be at risk from, or impact on, air quality?	0	The site is unlikely to have an impact on air quality		Unlikely to have an impact on any element of sustainability	
7. To protect and improve air, water and soil quality,	Will the site be at risk from, or impact on, noise levels?	0	The site is unlikely to have an impact on noise			
and minimise noise levels throughout West Berkshire	Will there be an impact on soil quality?	0	The site is unlikely to have an impact on soil quality			
	Will there be an impact on water quality?	0	The site is unlikely to have an impact on water quality			
8. To improve the efficiency of land use	Will it maximise the use of previously developed land and buildings?	-	The site is Greenfield		The Greenfield nature of the site means that there could be a negative impact on environmental sustainability.	
10. To reduce emissions contributing to climate change and ensure adaptation measures are in place to respond to climate change	Will it reduce West Berkshire's contribution to greenhouse gas emissions?	?	The level of impact depends on location, building materials / construction, transport / design	Mitigation could also include Transport Assessment / Travel Plans.	Without consideration of sustainability construction techniques development could have a negative impact on environmental sustainability.	

Site Selection - Sustainability Appraisal / Strategic Environmental Assessment

SA Objective	Criteria	Effects of option on SA objectives	Justification for assessment:	Mitigation / enhancement	Comment inc. reference to Social, Economic and Environmental Sustainability	
	Will the site be subject to / at risk from flooding	?	The southern edge of site is adjacent to an area of surface water flood risk.	SUDs would need to be provided.	Good design of any proposed development should mean there is no impact on any element of sustainability.	
	Will it enable the provision of high quality economic development which responds to business needs and delivers a range of employment opportunities?	<u>0</u>	Not considered relevant		The development of the site	
11. To ensure a strong, diverse and sustainable economic base	Will it promote and support key business sectors and utilise employment land effectively and efficiently?	<u>0</u>	The site is greenfield and there will be no loss of employment land through the development of the site for housing, No employment use development is proposed for the site. The development of the site for housing will have an overall neutral effect on economic sustainability.		for housing will have a neutral effect on economic sustainability. Whilst housing development contributes towards economic development in the short term through the construction of the site, it is not seen to promote key	
	Will it promote and support the vitality and viability of the District's commercial centres?	<u>0</u>	Housing development provides additional workforce and customers which has the potential to support commercial centres however the site is not for employment generating uses in such commercial centres. The development of the site for housing only will have a neutral effect on economic sustainability.		business sectors and business development in the longer term.	

Summary

Overall the site is likely to have a neutral effect on sustainability, and the SA/SEA does not highlight any significant sustainability effects, whether the development potential is 150 as originally assessed, or 110 as suggested by the site promoter,

The site scores positively in terms of the promotion of active, healthy lifestyles and opportunities for walking, cycling or public transport. There is potential for development on the site to have a negative impact on the character of the countryside, but should any development take place mitigation measures would need to be put in place. The site itself is not at risk from flooding, but is adjacent to an area which is at risk from surface water flooding; development without appropriate mitigation could lead to an increase risk of flooding, with a corresponding negative impact on sustainability.

The development of the site for housing will have a neutral effect on economic sustainability. Whilst housing development contributes towards economic development in the short term through the construction of the site, it is not seen to promote key business sectors and business development in the longer term.

Site Selection – Sustainability Appraisal / Strategic Environmental Assessment

Summary of effects:		
Effect: Predominantly neutral		
Likelihood: High		
Scale: East Kennet Valley		
Duration: Permanent		
Timing: Short to Long term.		